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NWSC Overview

➢ Formation – 1993 by entities in 3 states (MI, MN, FL)
➢ Purpose – to urge timely removal of commercial nuclear waste by federal gov’t and protect electric consumers
➢ Outreach to federal gov’t w/o physical presence in DC
➢ Member-driven
➢ Member meetings in DC at least annually with Hill visits; regular conference calls
➢ Non-partisan and not pro- or anti-nuclear
NWSC Membership

➢ State utility commissions
➢ State consumer advocates
➢ State energy officials
➢ State radiation control officials
➢ Tribal government
➢ Local government
➢ Nuclear-generating utilities & utilities with shutdown plants
➢ Other subject matter experts
NWSC Leadership

➢ Executive Committee
  ➢ 3 State utility commissioners
    ➢ Hon. Sarah Hofmann, VT PSB (Chair)
    ➢ Hon. Bubba McDonald, GA PSC (Communications Officer)
    ➢ Hon. Julie Brown, FL PSC (Membership Officer)
  ➢ 2 Utility Reps
    ➢ Mr. Renze Hoeksema, DTE Energy (Vice Chair)
    ➢ Mr. Bob Capstick, 3 Yankee Companies (Finance Officer)
  ➢ 1 Ex-Officio
    ➢ David Wright, former Chair of NWSC & SC PSC

➢ Staff
  ➢ Katrina McMurrian (Executive Director)
NWSC Value

➢ Develop strong, cohesive messages on behalf of diverse and credible coalition

➢ Coordinate with other key stakeholder organizations like NARUC, NEI, NIC, DPC, ANS, NCSL, ECA, BPC, etc.

➢ Communicate messages to Congress, federal agencies, stakeholders, the media
  ➢ Hill visits, letters, comments, press releases

➢ Inform members about relevant issues and events and provide opportunity for quick and effective input
SNF & Other Nuclear Waste

➢ Spent (or used) nuclear fuel (SNF) – A form of high-level radioactive waste, commercial or defense SNF “used” in reactor but not reprocessed (most commercial waste hasn’t been). To be disposed in repository.

➢ Other high-level waste (HLW) – Produced by defense reprocessing at DOE facilities (WA, SC) & former commercial reprocessing (NY). Generally DOE-managed & not NRC-regulated. Also destined for repository disposal.

➢ Transuranic (TRU) waste – Primarily from recycling SNF or using plutonium to fabricate nuclear weapons. Disposed at Waste Isolation Pilot Project (WIPP).

➢ Low-level waste (LLW) – Items contaminated with or exposed to radioactive material in range of applications (electricity generation, medical, research). Depending on classification, stored on-site until decayed and disposed of as trash, or until amounts large enough to ship to 4 state-regulated, near-surface disposal sites (WA, UT, SC, TX).

➢ Uranium mill tailings – Residues from milling certain ores to extract uranium & thorium. NRC-regulated disposal.
SNF Policy in US – NWPA

➢ Nuclear Waste Policy Act (NWPA) of 1982
  ➢ Called for repository site search to result in 2 sites (1 in East & 1 in West assumed)
  ➢ Limited 1st repository to 70,000 metric tons until 2nd repository open
  ➢ Created office in DOE to focus on waste (OCRWM)
  ➢ Authorized DOE to contract with utilities for DOE removal of SNF starting in 1998 in return for fees
  ➢ Directed DOE to propose site & design for MRS
  ➢ Assigned licensing to NRC using EPA standards
SNF Policy in US – NWPA (cont.)

- **1987 NWPA Amendments**
  - Named Yucca Mountain (YM) as sole repository site; eliminated 2nd repository program
  - Rescinded MRS site selection and tied future operation to repository progress
  - Offered incentives to host states & tribes
  - Established Nuclear Waste Negotiator to find voluntary repository or MRS sites (*expired*)
  - Established Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board to increase oversight of OCRWM
  - If YM found unsuitable, studies to be stopped, site restored, and DOE to report to Congress in 6 mos. on course of action
NWPA Implementation

Milestones Achieved

2002 –

- Sec. of Energy recommended Yucca Mountain (YM) for the only repository & Pres. Bush approved
- Nevada exercised state veto in April
- Veto overridden by Congress by mid-July

2008 –

- DOE submitted license application for YM repository to NRC
NWPA Implementation (cont.)

➢ Political Intervention Sidetracks Program
  ➢ 2010 –
    ➢ Administration zeroed out YM funding
    ➢ DOE moved to withdraw YM license app
    ➢ President created Blue Ribbon Commission (BRC) to “recommend a new strategy” because YM “unworkable”
    ➢ DOE’s OCRWM closed
  ➢ 2011 –
    ➢ NRC discontinued technical review of YM license app
  ➢ 2012
    ➢ BRC on America’s Nuclear Future Report to DOE
NWPA Implementation (cont.)

➢ Accomplishments through 2016

➢ 2013 –
  ➢ NRC restarts review of YM license app as directed by USCA-DC writ of mandamus (as long as appropriated funds last)

➢ 2015 –
  ➢ NRC completion of Safety Evaluation Report (SER)

➢ 2016 –
  ➢ NRC completion of Supplemental EIS
  ➢ Remaining YM license app review work will require additional funding by Congress
### Nuclear Waste Fund (NWF) Payment Info as of Sept. 30, 2016 (Million Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total NWF Contributions</th>
<th>1-Time Fee Outstanding</th>
<th>Allocation of Interest on NWF</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Total</td>
<td>21,191.0</td>
<td>3,084.1</td>
<td>22,427.8</td>
<td>46,703.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Payments based on nuclear plant generation
- Source: DOE; Updated: 2/17 by NEI
- Note: DOE suspended collection of fee in May 2014 as a result of a Nov. 2013 USCA-DC decision in NARUC fee suspension case.
SNF Storage

➢ SNF Pool Storage

➢ Water typically about 40 feet deep. Shields radiation & cools rods.

➢ Usually cooled at least 5 years in pool before transfer to dry cask.
Dry Cask Storage

- As pools near capacity, utilities move older SNF into “dry casks.”
- ISFSIs licensed in 34 states.
SNF Storage

U.S. Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSI)

Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Implications of Extended On-Site Storage

- **On Electric Consumers**
  - Through May 2014, paid $.001/kWh of nuclear-generated electricity into NWF (about $750 M per year). With accumulated interest, NWF receipts now exceed $40 B, but little in return (most used for purposes other than disposal as intended).
  - Additional costs for utility dry cask storage, security, and work-arounds.

- **On Taxpayers**
  - Damages for unmet obligation (breach of contract) paid out of US Treasury’s Judgment Fund – over $6.1 B paid as of 9/30/16.
  - Estimated remaining future liability – $24.7 B through 2023 and approx. $500 M per year after.
Implications of Extended On-Site Storage (cont.)

➢ On Communities

➢ Increasing concern SNF won’t be removed and dry casks not originally licensed for indefinite storage (e.g., Prairie Island)

➢ In case of shutdown units (e.g., Maine Yankee), dry cask storage may be only thing preventing other economic uses of property
Recent Activities – Executive Branch

- Department of Energy (DOE)
  - Defense-Only Repository, Mar. 2015 – no longer pursuing 2017
  - Deep Boreholes (for specific waste forms) – canceled 2017
  - Consent-Based Siting for repository & CIS road show 2016 – no longer pursuing 2017
  - Reorg Office of Nuclear Energy 2016 – re-establish OCRWM 2017?
  - FY 2018 Budget Request: $120 M for Yucca Mtn. & Interim Storage (YMIS)
  - Transportation matters ongoing
Recent Activities – Exec. Branch (cont.)

- Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
  - YM Safety Evaluation Report completed in 2015
    - confirmed YM repository can dispose of SNF and HLW for over 1 million years while protecting public health, safety, and the environment
  - YM Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (concerning groundwater impacts) completed in 2016
  - FY 2018 Budget Request: $30 M to support activities for proposed Yucca Mountain repository
  - Transportation, decommissioning, and other SNF-related matters ongoing
Recent Activities – Legislative Branch

- Energy & Water Appropriations in both chambers
- Comprehensive bills:
  - House E&C 2017 Discussion Draft (IL Rep. Shimkus) – Yucca Mtn. licensing, funding reforms, CIS with linkage, host incentives, etc.
  - Senate NW Administration Act of 2015 (Sens. Murkowski, Cantwell, Alexander, Feinstein) – CIS, consent-based siting, funding reforms, NW Administrator, etc.
- Interim Consolidated Storage bill:
  - H.R. 474 (CA Rep. Issa + 23 cosponsors) – introduced & referred E&C 1/12/17
- Consent-related bills:
  - S.95 (both NV Sens.) – introduced & referred EPW 1/11/17
  - H.R. 456 (3 NV Reps.) – introduced & referred E&C 1/11/17
Permanent Disposal / Yucca Mountain –

- NRC should complete independent review of YM repository license app (re-start proceeding before ASLB).
- DOE should re-establish OCRWM, re-engage in NRC’s review, and support its YM license app.
- Congress should fund these activities and hold agencies accountable.
- Repository needed – whether or not CIS is used prior to disposal and whether or not SNF reprocessed.
- Congress should consider benefits agreement with Nevada and affected units of local government that goes beyond NWPA.
Consolidated Interim Storage (CIS) –

- Congress should authorize and fund a *pilot* CIS facility for SNF (and any GTCC waste) stranded at permanently shutdown commercial nuclear power plant sites as part of an integrated waste management program leading to permanent disposal.

- DOE should use the authority afforded it under the Standard Contract to first remove and store SNF from shutdown sites with no operating reactors.

- DOE should engage with private CIS initiatives that are pursuing NRC licenses for SNF storage and with other potential public or private hosts.
Transportation –

- Congress should provide DOE with resources to timely test, certify, and procure rail cars, as well as licensed transportation casks and components, in sufficient quantities.
- Congress should provide increased financial and technical assistance to tribal, state, and local governments for transportation and related emergency preparedness activities.
- These actions are beneficial regardless of whether SNF destined for CIS or a permanent repository or both.
NWSC Key Positions (cont.)

➢ **Funding Reform** –
  ➢ Ensure timely access to entire NWF (contributions + interest) for its intended purpose (integrated NW management program leading to disposal), *without* reliance on the annual appropriations process but *with* appropriate Congressional oversight.

➢ **Governance Reform** –
  ➢ Congress should establish independent waste management organization (e.g., federal corporation) to manage NW program.
  ➢ In meantime, DOE should re-establish OCRWM, a single-purpose organization to manage program and implement NWPA.
Closing Thoughts

➢ Timely resolution of NW disposal problem is in public interest, is achievable, and helps preserve nuclear option.

➢ NWPA still law, and future liability can be avoided. Proceed with Yucca Mountain review.

➢ Support Yucca and consolidated interim storage.

➢ Public awareness of issues lacking.

➢ Often, crisis or consensus needed to spur ACTION

Please join NWSC in pursuing consensus!
For More Info

Web: www.theNWSC.org

Twitter: @NWSCoalition

Email: katrina@theNWSC.org

Phone: 615.905.1375